OPEN CALL ANALYSIS

CERV UNION VALUES 2026

Call to intermediaries: EU grants for training civil society organisations and re-granting up to €60,000 to them

EUnita strategic translation

An intermediary applies for an EU grant of €2.5–6 million for 36 to 48 months (the grant awarded may be lower), at a 94% funding rate, to do two things: build the capacity of many civil society organisations (CSOs), and run its own calls that pass sub-grants of up to €60,000 on to them, with priority for grassroots, small, rural and remote groups. There are two doors. Experienced organisations apply now, by 24 February 2027, and must prove at least 3 years of capacity-building experience and experience in awarding and managing grants to CSOs. Everyone else applies later to the intermediaries, whose calls are unlikely to open before the agreements are signed (planned November 2027, indicative). Relevance, including independence from government, politics, religion and business, is scored first: below 25 of 40 points a proposal is rejected, and the overall threshold of 70 points and the budget also apply.

CERV VALUES ANALYSIS

Fit assessment | Sources | What it funds | Boundaries | Budget & competition | Previous rounds | Who should apply | Eligibility | Money | Evaluation | Application & timeline | Risks | Recommendations

Deadline: 24 February 2027, 17:00 CET (Brussels time; 18:00 in Romania)

Call budget: €114 million (estimated) | EU grant per project: €2.5–6 million requested | Sub-grants: up to €60,000 per CSO | Funding rate: 94% | Opened: 27 October 2026

Prepared by

EUnita — EU Grant Advisory & Consultancy

Analysis date: 15 November 2026

This analysis interprets the official call documentation for applicants. It is not legal advice. The official texts are the call document (version 1.0, 27 October 2026), the Funding & Tenders Portal topic page and its Topic Q&As, the CERV work programme 2026–2027, and the model and annotated grant agreements. Where this analysis and an official text differ, the official text prevails. Labels used: ***Confirmed*** *(stated in an official source),* ***EUnita interpretation*** *(our reading or recommendation),* ***Derived estimate*** *(our arithmetic on official figures).*

1. Decision summary: is this call for you?

This is a call to intermediaries. EACEA, the EU agency that runs the CERV Union Values strand, gives a large grant to a limited number of civil society organisations (CSOs) that can do two jobs at once: build the capacity of many smaller CSOs, and run their own calls that pass money on to them as sub-grants of up to €60,000. The call document calls this *financial support to third parties* (FSTP); it is also known as cascade funding or re-granting. A significant part of each project budget must therefore reach other CSOs.

This analysis serves two groups of readers. **Door 1:** organisations that could be an intermediary and apply to EACEA now. **Door 2:** organisations that want a sub-grant for their own work and will apply to an intermediary later. Door 2 organisations cannot apply to an intermediary of this call yet: none has been selected. Intermediaries of the 2022 and 2024 editions may have sub-calls of their own (section 7).

Item	Confirmed position	Source
Call and topic	CERV-2026-CITIZENS-VALUES: one call, one topic. Call for proposals for civil society organisations active in protecting and promoting Union values: call to intermediaries (financial support to third parties)	Call document, cover and p. 4
Programme and manager	Citizens, Equality, Rights and Values Programme (CERV), strand Union Values. Managed by the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA), department B.3 Citizens and EU Values	Call document pp. 3–4; Work programme s. 3.1
Status	Open for submission on 15 November 2026; opened on 27 October 2026	Portal topic page
Deadline	24 February 2027, 17:00 CET (Brussels time); 18:00 in Romania. Call deadlines cannot be extended	Call document pp. 17, 35
Budget	Estimated €114,000,000, subject to final adoption of the 2027 EU budget	Call document pp. 16–17
EU grant per project	Requested amount from €2,500,000 to €6,000,000; the grant awarded may be lower. Duration 36 to 48 months. Funding rate 94%	Call document pp. 19, 21, 29
Who can apply	Non-profit civil society organisations established in an EU Member State (including overseas countries and territories), alone or in a consortium, with at least 3 years of recent proven capacity-building experience and recent proven experience in awarding and managing grants to CSOs	Call document pp. 18–20
Sub-grants	Up to €60,000 per third party; third parties should not be required to provide co-financing; single-stage calls stay open at least two months	Call document pp. 10–11
Selection	Single stage. Relevance 40 points (minimum 25), Quality 40, Impact 20; overall minimum 70	Call document pp. 24–27
Info session	Thursday 3 December 2026, 10:00 to 12:30 CET, online, in English	EACEA info-session page
Romania	Romanian CSOs are eligible as intermediaries. The call document and the work programme mention no national quota and no national annex (EUnita reading). No coordinator of a listed project in the 2022 and 2024 editions was established in Romania	Call document p. 18; Portal project lists

Two doors, one call

Aspect	Door 1: intermediary	Door 2: CSO seeking a sub-grant
Who	A non-profit CSO or a consortium established in an EU Member State that meets both experience conditions	A non-profit CSO established in an EU Member State that respects EU values and is not an affiliated entity, associated partner or contractor of the intermediary
What you do	Submit one proposal through the Funding & Tenders Portal; if selected, run capacity building and your own sub-grant calls	Apply to an intermediary's call when it opens; you do not apply to EACEA
Money	EU grant of €2.5–6 million requested; 94% of eligible costs	Up to €60,000 per third party; co-financing should not be required
When	Deadline 24 February 2027, 17:00 CET; grant agreements planned for November 2027	No date is published. EUnita interpretation: unlikely before late 2027, because signature is planned for November 2027 and each sub-call needs approvals first

Good fit

- ✓ A non-profit CSO, CSO fund or CSO network with at least 3 years of recent, proven experience in building the capacity of other CSOs
- ✓ An organisation that has awarded and managed grants to CSOs under an EU programme or a national or other scheme
- ✓ An organisation that can show independence from government, public authorities and political, religious and commercial interests
- ✓ A consortium whose members together cover both experience conditions and the target countries
- ✓ An organisation able to manage an EU grant of the requested size (€2.5–6 million) over three to four years, including monitoring of many small sub-grants
- ✓ A grassroots, small, rural or remote CSO that wants a sub-grant later and is ready to apply to an intermediary

Not a fit

- ✗ An organisation that wants a direct EU grant for its own project: the EU grant goes to the intermediary, and CSOs receive money only through the intermediaries' calls
- ✗ A natural person, a for-profit company, an international organisation, an EU body or a Programme Contact Point
- ✗ An organisation established outside the EU Member States and overseas countries and territories
- ✗ An organisation that cannot prove both experience conditions alone or through consortium partners
- ✗ A political party, or a project that includes proselytising or acts against EU fundamental values
- ✗ A project that needs a high share of its budget for its own activities rather than for sub-grants

EUnita verdict (interpretation)

EUnita interpretation: for established CSO intermediaries, CSO funds and their networks, this is the largest single opportunity in the Union Values strand to build a re-granting programme, and the estimated call budget is about 1.5 times that of 2024 (Derived estimate). It is a demanding application, not a light one. Both experience conditions must be proven, independence is scored first under Relevance, and the intermediary carries the work and the risk of controlling many sub-grants. For organisations without that record, the realistic routes are Door 2 later, or Door 1 only inside a consortium that meets both conditions together. Derived estimate: in the 2024 edition 15 proposals were retained out of 76 submitted (about 20%); this is not a forecast for 2026.

2. Source verification and document status

Every document below was downloaded from an official EU site and checked on 15 November 2026. The Portal data of the topic was read on 14 and 15 November 2026 and had not changed between the two readings. Page numbers in this analysis are the printed page numbers of the document cited.

Document	Version and date	Status and use
Call document (call for proposals)	Version 1.0, 27 October 2026, 37 pages	Defines the call; the main source of this analysis
Portal topic page and its 12 Topic Q&As	Page state 15 November 2026; Q&As published 9 November 2026	Official status, dates, budget overview and answers; call updates are published here
CERV work programme 2026–2027	Commission Implementing Decision C(2025) 8076 final, 1 December 2025; section 3.1	Legal and policy basis of the call
CERV model grant agreement (multi and mono)	Version 1.0, 1 November 2024	Model contract; amounts and options are filled in when the agreement is signed
Annotated model grant agreement (AGA)	Version 3.0, 1 November 2026	Commentary that helps readers understand and interpret the model articles
Standard application form (CERV)	Version 3.0, 15 January 2025	Generic example, for information. The call's own forms are inside the Submission System
Good practices for implementing FSTP in EU grants	Version 1.0, 15 June 2025	Guidance
Framework for monitoring and control activities under the Union Values call	Version 1.1, 17 October 2023	Information only; written for the 2022 call; not binding
Rules for legal entity validation and financial capacity assessment	Version 6.0, 15 October 2026	Procedure applied to selected applicants
EACEA online info-session page	Event of 3 December 2026	Date, time and agenda
Call documents, Portal data and project lists of the 2022 and 2024 editions	2022 and 2024	Reference for what happened in earlier rounds
Part B template, Part C and annex templates of this call	Not published outside the Submission System	Available only after EU Login, inside the submission screens

Where the official documents differ

- **Page limit of Part B.** The standard application form says "page limit normally 45 pages (unless otherwise provided in the Call document)" (form p. 2). The call document sets "maximum 70 pages (Part B)" (p. 18). EUnita interpretation: follow the call document, to which the standard form defers; read the page limit again in the call's own Part B template inside the Submission System.
- **Number of parts.** The standard form says it consists of two parts (A and B). The call document requires four: Part A, Part B, Part C (a KPI tool) and annexes (pp. 17–18). Follow the call document.
- **Volunteers.** The model grant agreement caps volunteer costs at 50% of the total project costs and contributions estimated in the proposal (p. 22). The call document says volunteers' unit costs can raise the reimbursement "up to 100% of the normal costs" (p. 31). The Topic Q&A says volunteers' work "may comprise up to 50% of the co-financing" (Q108635). The three statements measure different things and the documents do not reconcile them. The call document says the cost rules "are fixed in the Grant Agreement" (p. 29). EUnita interpretation: treat the grant agreement as the legal limit and the Q&A figure as the cautious planning rule.
- **Budget year.** The work programme gives yearly envelopes for the whole strand (€116,275,000 for 2026 and €145,275,000 for 2027) and no figure for this call; the call document and the Portal give €114,000,000, tied to the 2027 budget. These do not conflict.
- **2024 outcome.** The evaluation flash information on the Portal for the 2024 call says 15 proposals were retained and 3 placed on the reserve list. The Portal lists 16 projects for that edition, with EU contributions of about €75.3 million (Derived estimate), above the €74.5 million call budget. No document explains the difference.
- **Monitoring framework.** The call document points to it for examples and good practices (p. 15), but it is version 1.1 of October 2023, written for the 2022 call, and states that it does not establish any binding procedure (pp. 1, 4). EUnita interpretation: use it as guidance only.

3. What the call funds

The scheme

EACEA grants EU money to an intermediary. The intermediary builds the capacity of civil society organisations and runs its own public calls that give financial support to third parties, which are CSOs. The call document states the purpose as selecting and supporting "a limited number of intermediaries in EU Member States, capable of building the capacities of a large number of CSOs active at local, regional and/or national level" (p. 7). "Intermediaries should give priority to grassroots, small, remote and rural based organisations" (p. 7). The call text defines a CSO as an organisational structure whose members serve the general interest through a democratic process, and says the EU considers CSOs to include all non-State, not-for-profit structures that are non-partisan and nonviolent and that promote and protect the fundamental rights and values on which the EU is founded (p. 7).

Priorities of the call (pp. 7–8)

1. Support, protect and strengthen the capacity and resilience of CSOs to protect and promote Union values, including democracy, fundamental rights, equality and the rule of law.
2. Support CSOs in their efforts to protect, promote and raise awareness on fundamental rights and democratic values.
3. Support dialogue, transparency and good governance, also where space for civil society is shrinking.

Two mandatory activities

A proposal "must include both" of the following (p. 9):

- **Financial support to third parties** (sub-grants to CSOs): "essential" and "must represent a significant part of the project's budget" (p. 9).
- **Capacity building** for CSOs: "essential" and "must be reflected appropriately in the budget distribution" (p. 12).
- Other relevant and innovative activities may be considered (p. 9).
- There is no fixed split: "The call does not define a fixed percentage between these categories" (Topic Q&A 108628). What counts as a "significant part" is not quantified.

What each intermediary's sub-calls must define (pp. 9–12)

- The objectives and results expected from third parties.
- The maximum amount per third party and the criteria for the exact amount.
- The types of organisation that may receive support, and the types of activity eligible, from a closed list.
- The evaluation procedure, including conflict-of-interest measures, selection criteria and award criteria.
- The communication tools and channels for outreach.
- The measures that ensure third parties and their projects comply with EU values.

Activities that sub-grants may support (not exhaustive, pp. 11–12)

- Awareness raising on rights and values; civic platforms and civic dialogues; coalitions and partnerships among CSOs.
- Free advice, watchdog and monitoring activities; promotion of the implementation of adopted laws and court cases.
- Civic participation, lobbying and advocacy; research and analysis that informs policymaking, tools and standards.
- Targeted support services, such as victim support and psychological support against online and offline threats.

Strategic litigation: limited

Confirmed: "Activities on strategic litigation can be supported if limited to capacity building, awareness raising, training, mutual learning and exchange of good practices, and analytical activities." (p. 12). EUnita interpretation: litigation itself is not on the list, so assume it is not funded, and ask EACEA if it matters for your project.

Capacity building (pp. 12–13)

The call says capacity building "should be adopted as a concept that goes beyond the conventional perception of training". Examples named in the call:

- Technical and methodological support: coaching, helpdesk, monitoring and reporting, grant management.
- Thematic training on EU law and policies, including protection from strategic lawsuits against public participation (SLAPPs).
- Mentoring, fundraising and EU-funding skills, communication, diversity management, gender mainstreaming, policy research, digital security.
- Training to monitor and react to online and offline threats; awareness raising on rule-of-law culture.
- Cooperation, networking and peer exchange, including with National Human Rights Institutions and equality bodies; building gender expertise.

Needs assessment

Proposals must be "based on and include a thorough assessment of the state of civic space and the landscape for CSOs to operate in the country(ies) covered, the challenges they face, the opportunities they can leverage and their needs", with a gender analysis and, as far as possible, an intersectional approach (p. 8). A proposal does not need to cover every priority of the strand, but the third-party calls "should aim at promoting an overarching culture of fundamental rights, democracy and rule of law and should not focus on only one area" (Topic Q&A 108627).

Policy anchors named in the call (pp. 5–8)

- The EU Strategy for Civil Society (COM(2025) 790) and the European Democracy Shield (JOIN(2025) 791).
- The 2022 Charter report and the 2023 and 2025 Rule of Law reports; Council conclusions on civic space.
- The SLAPP Recommendation (EU) 2022/758 and Directive (EU) 2024/1069.
- The equality strategies 2026–2030 and the strategies on antisemitism and on disability.

Expected impact (p. 16)

- Increased promotion and public awareness of Union values and Charter rights; strengthened capacity and resilience of CSOs, including at grassroots level and among vulnerable groups.
- Increased protection and targeted assistance for CSOs and human rights defenders at risk of online and offline threats and attacks.
- Improved advocacy and watchdog skills; a more supportive and protective environment for CSOs; more involvement of CSOs in policy-making at all governance levels; stronger cross-border and regional cooperation within civil society.

Required work packages (p. 27)

Work package	Content	Mandatory deliverables (pp. 27–29)
WP 1	Project and risk management	Risk management and monitoring plan; its approval is a prerequisite to publishing sub-calls
WP 2	Financial support to third parties	A pre-information sheet for each sub-call; a call evaluation summary after each call; publication of the third-party projects on the intermediary's website
WP 3	Capacity building	Survey summary results and a short information report on the capacity-building activities
WP 4	Communication and dissemination, including the project webpage	An impact report (indicatively two to five pages), with best practices and success stories of FSTP

All four work packages are mandatory; more may be added (p. 27).

4. Boundaries: avoid the wrong door

What the call does not fund

- Activities "contradictory with the interests of the EU", "against EU fundamental values", "which include proselytising activities" or "supporting directly political parties" (p. 20).
- Proposals whose content does not correspond wholly, or at least in part, to the topic description (p. 20).

- EUnita interpretation: the call lists only capacity building, awareness raising, training, learning and analytical activities around strategic litigation as eligible (p. 12); litigation itself is not listed, so assume it is not funded.
- A direct grant to a CSO that is not an intermediary. Under the scheme on p. 8, the EU grant goes to the intermediary; other CSOs receive money through the intermediaries' calls.
- Profit: the grant may not produce a profit (p. 29).

Who cannot take part

- Natural persons, as applicants or as third parties; international organisations; EU bodies (except the Joint Research Centre) in a consortium; Programme Contact Points (p. 19).
- Entities under EU restrictive measures, in any capacity; entities under conditionality measures under Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2020/2092, at present Hungarian public interest trusts established under Hungarian Act IX of 2021 and any entity they maintain (p. 19).
- Organisations established outside the EU Member States and overseas countries and territories: the work programme says the co-legislators excluded non-EU countries from the Union Values strand (Work programme p. 6).
- As third parties: affiliated entities, associated partners and contractors of the intermediary (p. 11).
- Intermediaries themselves cannot apply to the calls for third parties issued by other intermediaries under this call (p. 11).

Neighbouring calls in the same work programme

The 2026–2027 CERV work programme holds other calls that can suit a project better than this one. Check the Portal for the status and dates of each before relying on it.

Work programme section	Who it targets	Prefer it when (EUnita interpretation)
3.2 Charter of Fundamental Rights	CSOs active in the rule of law, fundamental rights and democracy; national human rights institutions, equality bodies, ombuds institutions; public authorities if in partnership with CSOs	you want a direct grant for your own project on the Charter, civic space or building capacity on strategic litigation
3.3 Antisemitism and anti-Muslim hatred; 3.4 Equality and racism	3.3: CSOs, public authorities, equality bodies. 3.4: public authorities, equality bodies, CSOs, national Roma contact points	your project is about antisemitism, anti-Muslim hatred, racism or discrimination and you will run it yourself
3.9 Citizens' engagement and participation	CSOs, including private non-profit, cultural and youth organisations, education providers, research institutions and public authorities	your project is about citizens' participation, or you are a public body, education provider or research institution unsure whether you count as a CSO here
3.13 Gender-based violence and violence against children (Daphne)	Public authorities, support services, CSOs; priority 1 supports intermediary organisations that give financial support to CSOs in these fields	your sub-granting programme is on gender-based violence or violence against children
3.14 Operating grants to framework partners in Union values	Framework partners of the Commission under CERV	you hold a framework partnership agreement; not open to other organisations

5. Budget, competition and expected number of grants

Item	Official figure	Source
Call budget	"The estimated available call budget is EUR 114 000 000." It depends on the final adoption of the 2027 budget by the EU budgetary authority. EACEA reserves the right not to award all funds or to redistribute them between the call priorities	Call document pp. 16–17
Portal budget overview	€114,000,000, budget year 2027, single stage. The columns for the contribution range and the indicative number of grants are empty	Portal topic page
Requested EU grant	From €2,500,000 to €6,000,000; the grant awarded may be lower than the amount requested	Call document pp. 19, 21
Strand envelope	Union Values grants: €116,275,000 (2026) and €145,275,000 (2027) for the whole strand; no figure for this call	Work programme p. 6
Number of grants	Not published. The work programme speaks of "a limited number of intermediaries"	Work programme p. 7
Number of applications	Not published	n/a
Share for sub-grants	No fixed percentage; "significant part" is not quantified	Call document p. 9; Q&A 108628

Derived estimates

- Derived estimate: at the ceiling of €6,000,000 the €114,000,000 would fund at most 19 projects; at the floor of €2,500,000, at most 45. Awards can be lower than requests, so the real number can differ.
- Derived estimate: the estimated 2026 budget is 1.53 times the 2024 budget (€74.5 million) and 2.24 times the 2022 budget (€51 million).
- The Portal shows "Partner search announcements: 64" on 15 November 2026. These are announcements by organisations looking for partners, not applications.

How to read the competition (EUnita interpretation)

EUnita interpretation: a small number of large grants means few winners. The 2022 and 2024 rounds retained 16 and 15 projects, and the 2026 budget is larger. In 2024, requests by proposals above the threshold were about 3.3 times the call budget (Derived estimate, section 6). That ratio is not a forecast for 2026: the budget, the number of applicants and the text differ.

Budget condition

Confirmed: the call is "subject to the final adoption of the budget by the EU budgetary authority"; if there are substantial changes, EACEA "may have to modify the call (or even cancel it)" (p. 4). Cancellations are "without entitlement to compensation" (p. 36).

6. What has been funded before

The same scheme ran in 2022 and 2024 under the identifiers CERV-2022-CITIZENS-VALUES and CERV-2024-CITIZENS-VALUES. The figures below come from the Portal data of those topics (evaluation flash information) and from the Portal's project lists, read on 14 and 15 November 2026.

Item	2022 edition	2024 edition
Opened	16 December 2021	26 October 2023
Deadline	29 March 2022, 17:00	7 March 2024, 17:00 CET
Call budget	€51,000,000	€74,500,000
Proposals submitted	40	76 (including proposals transferred from or to other calls)
Inadmissible / ineligible	Inadmissible: not published. Ineligible: 3	Inadmissible: 2. Ineligible: 3
Eligible / above threshold	37 eligible	58 above threshold
Requested by proposals above threshold	Not published	€244,525,571
Proposed or retained for funding	16 proposals, €50,903,253.91	15 proposals
Reserve list	3 proposals, €10,169,283.27	3 proposals
Rejected	8 for lack of budget; 10 for insufficient quality; 3 failing eligibility	Not published
Funding threshold	Not published	87 points
Projects listed on the Portal	16	16

What the Portal project lists show

- Derived estimate: summing the EU contribution shown for each participant gives €50,903,254 for the 16 projects of 2022 (matching the flash information), from about €2.51 million to €4.00 million per project; and €75,284,997 for the 16 projects of 2024, from about €2.58 million to €6.00 million per project.
- All 32 listed projects have a coordinating organisation in one of 14 countries: Belgium, Bulgaria, Czechia, Denmark, Greece, Hungary, Italy, Lithuania, the Netherlands, Poland, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain or Austria. None is coordinated from Romania.
- Three listed projects have a Romanian link, named in the project objective or the participant list: PROTEUS (2022, coordinated from Brussels, one Romanian participant), Catalyst of Change (2022, coordinated from The Hague) and ROVA (2024, coordinated from Brussels, one Romanian participant). Whether they still run open sub-calls is not stated in the sources read.
- Two projects state how much they re-grant. BIRDS in BG - 2 (2024) "will distribute 4 000 000 EUR to more than 92 CSOs", in grants of €10,000 to €60,000, from an EU contribution of €4,855,331 (Derived estimate: about 82%). The Equal Rights Project (2024) states 145 grants "totalling €3.4 million" to more than 100 CSOs from an EU contribution of €5,999,515 (Derived estimate: about 57%). The call sets no fixed share, so the two examples differ widely.

Derived estimates on the competition

- Derived estimate: 2022, 16 proposed of 40 submitted (40%). 2024, 15 retained of 76 submitted (about 20%), or of 58 above threshold (about 26%).
- Derived estimate: the 58 proposals above threshold in 2024 asked on average for about €4.2 million each (244,525,571 / 58), and together for about 3.3 times the call budget.

Limits of the comparison

These are outcomes of earlier calls, not odds for 2026. The funding threshold of 87 points in 2024 is a result of that round, not a rule. The 2026 call changes the estimated budget (€114 million), the funding rate (94% against 90%) and some wording; see the changes listed in section 11.

7. Who should apply, and with which ideas

Door 1: applying to EACEA as an intermediary

EUnita interpretation: the call suits organisations that already do what it asks, at scale: national or regional CSO funds and re-granting programmes, CSO umbrella organisations and platforms, resource and training centres for CSOs, and consortia that combine a grant-maker with a capacity-building organisation. A single organisation may apply (a consortium of at least one applicant, call document p. 20); in a multi-beneficiary project every consortium member is an intermediary (Framework p. 6, an information document), so an organisation that you want as a sub-grant recipient cannot also be a consortium member.

- **Experience.** Both conditions are tested on the applicant or the consortium as a whole and can be met by one member or by different members (pp. 18–19). Evidence goes in Part B and in the annexes "Activity reports of last year" and "List of previous projects" (Topic Q&A 108625).
- **Independence.** Relevance carries 40 points with a minimum of 25. It tests independence from government, public authorities and political, religious and commercial interests: operational independence, accountability, transparency, financial and personal independence (p. 25). The information goes in section 2.2 Consortium set-up of Part B.
- **Reach.** Quality asks for a strategy to reach many grassroots, small and rural or remote CSOs, and for ways to reduce the administrative burden on third parties (p. 26).
- **Control.** Quality also asks how third parties are selected, with conflict-of-interest safeguards, and how the intermediary ensures that only organisations defending EU values qualify (p. 26).

Ideas that match the call's own words

- A needs assessment of civic space in the target countries, with a gender analysis, that shapes the design of the sub-grant calls (p. 8).
- Sub-grant calls adapted to small organisations: simplified cost options and lump sums, calls in the local language, two-stage selection for less experienced CSOs, coaching and helpdesk support during the call (pp. 10–11).
- Capacity building that goes beyond classroom training: coaching, mentoring, peer exchange, grant-management support, digital security, and protection from SLAPPs (pp. 12–13).
- Support for CSOs and human rights defenders at risk of online and offline threats, including targeted services such as victim and psychological support (pp. 11–12, 16).
- Links between CSOs and National Human Rights Institutions and equality bodies (p. 13).
- A proposal that reaches several countries needs a thorough landscape assessment of each, and an applicant with no partner in a target country must show country expertise and suitable monitoring (p. 20; Topic Q&A 108619, 108626).

Door 2: applying later to an intermediary

No sub-call of this call exists yet. Each intermediary will publish its own, and the call document sets the frame (pp. 9–12). Intermediaries of the 2022 and 2024 editions may have open calls of their own: the Portal lists 16 projects for each edition, all 16 of the 2024 edition and one of the 2022 edition marked ongoing (the other 2022 projects ended), and calls for third parties are published on the

intermediaries' project webpages and in the Portal section for competitive calls. Whether any is open now is not stated in the sources read.

- The third party must be established in an EU Member State or overseas country or territory, be non-profit-making and a CSO, and respect EU values (Article 2 of the Treaty on European Union and the Charter). Natural persons are not eligible.
- Support is up to €60,000 per third party and per re-granted project. Third parties should not be asked for co-financing.
- Calls are published on the intermediary's project webpage in the EU languages most relevant to the local context, and in the Portal section for competitive calls and calls for third parties. Single-stage calls (and the first stage of two-stage calls) must stay open at least two months; open-ended calls are also possible.
- Intermediaries should give priority to grassroots, small, remote and rural organisations, apply a uniform evaluation procedure, support applicants and set up appeal mechanisms.
- Results are published by the intermediary, indicatively within two months after the call deadline.

Confirmed: each sub-call needs an approved pre-information sheet, and its approval depends on the approval of the intermediary's Risk management and monitoring plan, which is itself a deliverable of the project (call document pp. 27–28). EUnita interpretation: with signature planned for November 2027 (indicative), sub-calls of this call are unlikely to open before late 2027. A CSO can use the time to prepare statutes, registration and non-profit status, annual activity reports and evidence of non-partisan work, and to follow the project webpages of the selected intermediaries. The countries each intermediary will cover are not yet known.

8. Eligibility checklist

Marks: ✓ a condition stated in the call document; ✗ excluded; ? the official documents leave the point open. This checklist concerns Door 1, the application to EACEA.

✓	Legal entity, public or private body (call document p. 18).
✓	Established in an EU Member State, including overseas countries and territories (p. 18).
✓	Non-profit-making (p. 18).
✓	A civil society organisation as the call defines it (p. 7).
✓	Recent proven experience of at least 3 years in implementing CSO capacity-building activities (pp. 18–19).
✓	Recent proven experience in awarding and managing grants to CSOs (pp. 18–19). One consortium member or several can supply each condition.
✓	EU grant requested between €2,500,000 and €6,000,000 (p. 19).
✓	Duration between 36 and 48 months (p. 21).
✓	Activities in the eligible countries; a proposal that includes both financial support to third parties and capacity building (pp. 9, 21).
✓	Content that corresponds wholly or at least in part to the topic description (p. 20).
✓	Every beneficiary, affiliated entity and associated partner registered in the Participant Register with a 9-digit PIC before submission (validation follows later), and an EU Login account (pp. 19, 33, 35).
✓	A consortium of at least one applicant: one organisation may apply alone (p. 20).
✓	Part B within the page limit, Part C completed, and the mandatory annexes uploaded (pp. 17–18).

✓	Where activities involve children under 18, a child protection policy (private bodies) or a declaration (public bodies) (p. 18).
✗	Natural persons (p. 19).
✗	International organisations (p. 19).
✗	EU bodies other than the Joint Research Centre, in a consortium (p. 19).
✗	Programme Contact Points (p. 19).
✗	Entities under EU restrictive measures, and entities under conditionality measures, including Hungarian public interest trusts under Act IX of 2021 (p. 19).
✗	Organisations established outside the EU Member States and overseas countries and territories (p. 18; Work programme p. 6).
✗	Applicants subject to an EU exclusion decision or in an exclusion situation: for example bankruptcy or similar proceedings, unpaid tax or social security, grave professional misconduct, fraud or corruption, serious failures under earlier EU contracts or grants, obstruction of an audit. Applicants are also rejected if they misrepresented information or were involved in preparing the call (pp. 23–24).
?	Whether a public body or a public university can qualify as a CSO. The call allows "public or private bodies" but defines a CSO as a non-State structure, and Relevance tests independence from public authorities. The documents do not settle it.
?	Whether a foundation without members fits the definition of a CSO in its first sentence ("members serve the general interest"). The second sentence covers "all non-State, not-for-profit structures"; the documents do not discuss foundations.
?	What "recent" means in "recent proven experience", and whether any minimum number or size of grants managed applies. The call document and the Q&A do not define it, and the eligibility conditions state no minimum. Operational capacity, assessed with Quality, asks for "sufficient experience in projects of comparable size and nature" (p. 22).

9. How the money works

The flow of money

1. EACEA signs the grant agreement with the consortium. All payments go to the coordinator (p. 31). A prefinancing payment, normally 40% of the maximum grant, follows entry into force, or follows the bank security if one is required, whichever is later (p. 31).
2. The intermediary publishes its sub-calls once its Risk management and monitoring plan and the pre-information sheet of each call are approved, selects third parties and contracts them. The intermediary must make Articles 12, 13, 14, 17.2, 18, 19 and 20 of its own grant agreement and EU audit rights apply to the third parties (p. 28; model agreement Article 9.4).
3. The amounts paid out to third parties are the intermediary's cost category D.1, financial support to third parties, reimbursed as actual costs, with a maximum of €60,000 per third party (p. 30).
4. The intermediary reports every 12 months; each periodic report is linked to a payment, in English (p. 31). At the end the final amount is calculated; any excess is recovered (p. 31).

Rules of the grant

Item	Rule	Source
Form of grant	Budget-based mixed actual cost grant with unit cost and flat-rate elements. Only eligible costs actually incurred are reimbursed, not the budgeted costs	Call document p. 29
Funding rate	94% of eligible costs (the 2022 and 2024 calls: 90%)	Call document p. 29

Item	Rule	Source
Co-funding	The intermediary covers the other 6% from own resources, income generated by the action, or financial or in-kind contributions. Third parties should not be required to co-finance	Topic Q&A 108635; call document p. 11
No profit	The grant may not produce a profit	Call document p. 29
Cost categories	A.1 employees; A.2 natural persons under direct contract; A.3 seconded persons; A.5 volunteers; B subcontracting; C.1 travel and subsistence; C.2 equipment (depreciation); C.3 other goods, works and services; D.1 financial support to third parties; E indirect costs. SME owners and natural persons as a unit cost: not offered	Call document pp. 29–30
Indirect costs	Flat rate of 7% of the eligible direct costs (categories A–D, except volunteers' costs and exempted specific cost categories, if any). The call names no exempted category	Call document p. 30; model agreement pp. 12, 25
Unit costs	Volunteers: yes, without indirect costs. Travel and subsistence: yes	Call document p. 30
VAT	Non-deductible and non-refundable VAT is eligible; VAT paid by public bodies acting as public authority is not	Call document p. 30
Other eligible items	Free in-kind contributions (cost-neutral); kick-off and thematic cluster meetings organised by the granting authority (travel for at most 2 persons); communication costs for presenting the project on the intermediaries' websites, platforms or social media; the cost of independent financial audit of third-party projects	Call document pp. 30–31
Subcontracting	Normally a limited part; beyond 30% of total eligible costs it must be justified	Call document p. 35
Prefinancing	Normally 40% of the maximum grant; exceptionally less or none. A bank security, if required, is fixed in the grant agreement and is normally requested from the coordinator	Call document pp. 31–32
Interim payments	Model text: interim payments up to 90% of the maximum grant amount; the signed agreement fills in the values. Payment time limits are set in the agreement	Model agreement p. 13
Certificates	Types and thresholds are fixed in the grant agreement. Model standard threshold for a certificate on the financial statements: a requested EU contribution to costs of at least €325,000 per beneficiary	Call document p. 32; model agreement p. 14
Liability	One of three regimes fixed in the agreement: limited joint and several (each up to its own maximum grant), unconditional joint and several, or individual responsibility; affiliated entities may be made jointly liable	Call document p. 32
Financial capacity	Checked for coordinators, except public bodies and international organisations, or where the requested grant is at most €60,000. If not satisfactory, the consequences range from further information to prefinancing in instalments, a bank security, no prefinancing, replacement of the applicant or rejection	Call document p. 22; validation rules

Derived estimates

- Derived estimate: 40% prefinancing is €1,000,000 on a €2,500,000 grant and €2,400,000 on a €6,000,000 grant.
- Derived estimate: at 94%, a €6,000,000 grant implies eligible costs of about €6,382,979 and an own share of about €382,979; a €2,500,000 grant implies about €2,659,574 and about €159,574.
- Derived estimate: with a 90% interim ceiling (model text), at least €600,000 of a €6,000,000 grant is paid only on the final payment.

Two points the documents leave open

- **Indirect costs and sub-grants.** The 7% flat rate applies to categories A–D, and D.1 is not named as excluded (call document p. 30; model agreement p. 12). The annotated agreement shows a different option (25% rate) that excludes subcontracting and financial support to third parties from the base (annotated agreement p. 136), but the CERV text uses the 7% option. EUnita interpretation: on the text, D.1 is in the base; this is not stated in so many words.
- **Volunteers.** See the three statements in section 2. Plan volunteer costs within the narrowest of the three statements.

10. Evaluation

One-stage submission and one-step evaluation. After the formal check (admissibility and eligibility), proposals are evaluated for operational capacity and against the award criteria, then ranked by score. An evaluation committee, "assisted by independent outside experts", assesses all applications (p. 24).

Criterion	Maximum	Minimum	What is looked at (pp. 25–26)
Relevance	40	25	Independence of the applicant or consortium from government, public authorities and political, religious or commercial interests; fit of its regular activities and mission with EU support to CSO capacity development, the needs of the target countries and regions, and reaching remote regions and marginalised or vulnerable groups; match with the call; quality of the CSO-sector overview and needs assessment; synergy with, and no duplication of, EU capacity-development support; target groups with a gender perspective; balance and structure of the capacity-building and sub-grant measures
Quality	40	none	Clarity and consistency; logical frame; strategy to reach many grassroots, small and rural or remote CSOs; how third parties are evaluated and selected, with conflict-of-interest safeguards; reducing the administrative burden on third parties; gender perspective in the methodology; risk control, monitoring and evaluation; operational capacity; ethics; feasibility within the time frame; financial feasibility; cost-effectiveness
Impact	20	none	Ambition and expected long-term impact on target groups and the public; dissemination strategy, sustainability and multiplier effect; sustainability of results after EU funding ends
Overall	100	70	

- Confirmed: "Proposals that pass the individual threshold for the criterion 'Relevance' AND the overall threshold will be considered for funding - within the limits of the available budget." Others are rejected (p. 27).
- Tie-break for equal total scores, group by group from the highest: first the Relevance score, then Quality, then Impact (p. 24).
- All applicants receive an evaluation result letter. Successful proposals are invited to grant preparation; others go on the reserve list or are rejected. The invitation "does NOT constitute a formal commitment for funding": legal entity validation, financial capacity and exclusion checks follow, and grant preparation may include adjustments to meet the committee's recommendations (p. 24).
- Complaints are possible within the deadlines stated in the evaluation result letter (pp. 24–25).
- Not published: scoring guidance, an evaluator guide, a model evaluation form, the composition of the committee.

Reading the criteria (EUnita interpretation)

EUnita interpretation: Relevance is the gate. A proposal that scores below 25 of 40 on Relevance is rejected whatever its total, and Relevance also decides ties. Independence, fit of the applicant's regular mission and a sound needs assessment therefore deserve the first pages of Part B. Quality rewards a credible control system for many small sub-grants; Impact carries the least weight. The funding threshold in 2024 was 87 points, which is an outcome of that round and not a rule.

11. Application package and timeline

What to submit

Electronic submission only, through the Funding & Tenders Portal Submission System, reached from the topic page. "Paper submissions are NOT possible." Use the forms inside the Submission System, not the documents on the topic page, which are for information only (pp. 17, 32).

Part	Content	Format and limits
Part A	Administrative information and summary budget	Filled in online
Part B	Technical description: relevance, quality, impact, work plan, ethics and EU values	Word template downloaded from the Submission System, uploaded as PDF; maximum 70 pages; "evaluators will not consider any additional pages" (p. 18). Standard form rules: A4, at least Arial 9, margins at least 15 mm (form p. 2)
Part C	Additional project data on key performance indicators (KPI tool)	Filled in online, all sections
Annexes	CVs of the core project team; activity reports of last year of all applicants (compiled into one document for a consortium; an annual activity report is not a financial audit report or balance sheet); list of previous projects (key projects of the last 4 years); child protection policy where activities involve children under 18	Uploaded as PDF

Steps

1. Create an EU Login account.
2. Register the organisation, and each consortium member, affiliated entity and associated partner, in the Participant Register to obtain a 9-digit PIC before submission; validation by the Central Validation Service follows if the proposal is selected.
3. Open the proposal from the topic page, complete Parts A and C online, write Part B in the template and upload it with the annexes. A proposal can be changed and resubmitted until the deadline (p. 36).
4. Look for the confirmation e-mail with date and time. "If you do not receive this confirmation e-mail, it means your proposal has NOT been submitted" (p. 33).

- Language: any official EU language; the project abstract is always in English; the call advises using English throughout (p. 36). The call document exists in English only; no translation is listed on the Portal on 15 November 2026.
- Generative AI is allowed with care: applicants "must be transparent in disclosing which AI tools were used and how", verify accuracy and citations, list sources and watch for plagiarism (p. 34).
- At submission the applicant confirms it has the mandate of all applicants and that all participants meet the conditions; each beneficiary and affiliated entity signs a declaration of honour before the grant is signed (p. 18).

- Questions: EACEA-CERV@ec.europa.eu for non-IT questions, quoting the call and topic reference; IT questions go to the Portal IT helpdesk. EACEA has "limited resources for handling direct enquiries" and asks applicants to use the Online Manual and the FAQs first (p. 34).

Timeline

Date	Event	Source
27 October 2026	Call published; submission opens	Call document p. 17; Portal
3 December 2026, 10:00–12:30 CET (11:00–13:30 in Romania)	Online info session in English; questions in advance to EACEA-CERV@ec.europa.eu	EACEA info-session page
24 February 2027, 17:00 CET (18:00 in Romania)	Submission deadline; cannot be extended	Call document pp. 17, 35
March–May 2027	Evaluation (indicative)	Call document p. 17
August 2027	Information on evaluation results (indicative)	Call document p. 17
November 2027	Grant agreement signature (indicative)	Call document p. 17
Normally within 1 and 6 months after signature	Project start; fixed in the grant agreement	Call document p. 27
Not published	Opening dates of the intermediaries' sub-calls	n/a

Derived estimate: if the agreement is signed in November 2027 and the start follows within 1 to 6 months, projects start between about December 2027 and May 2028 and run 36 to 48 months, so the earliest end is about the end of 2030 and the latest about May 2032.

What changed since the 2024 edition

- Budget €114,000,000 (2024: €74,500,000); the condition now refers to the 2027 budget. Funding rate 94% (2024: 90%).
- Dates: evaluation March–May (2024: March–July); results August (2024: September); signature November (2024: December).
- Unchanged: request of €2.5–6 million; 36 to 48 months; €60,000 per third party; 7% indirect flat rate; criteria and weights; 70-page Part B; the experience conditions; work packages 1 to 4.
- New or changed: the background now rests on the EU Strategy for Civil Society and the European Democracy Shield, and the needs assessment must cover the state of civic space; applicants are "legal entities (public or private bodies)"; a paragraph on EU conditionality measures; a sentence on country expertise for applicants without partners in the target country; guidance on generative AI; Part C described as a KPI tool; child protection rules for private and public bodies.
- Deliverables: the "Risk management plan" is now a "Risk management and monitoring plan", and its approval is a prerequisite to publishing sub-calls; the 2024 identity fiches of third-party projects (name, title, budget, summary, target group, results and indicators) are replaced by publication of organisation, country, grant awarded, project title and duration on the intermediary's website; the call evaluation summary existed in 2024 and remains.
- The bank security for prefinancing is now normally requested from the coordinator; communication costs on the intermediaries' websites and platforms are eligible (2024: costs for separate project websites were not).

12. Risks and red flags

Risk	Why it matters	Source
Failing the Relevance threshold	A score below 25 of 40 on Relevance rejects the proposal whatever the total. Independence from government, political, religious and commercial interests is part of that score	Call document pp. 25–27
Experience not proven	Both conditions are eligibility conditions. "Recent" is not defined and no minimum size of grants managed is stated for eligibility; operational capacity, assessed with Quality, asks for "sufficient experience in projects of comparable size and nature" (call document p. 22). The evidence must be substantiated and shown to be transferable	Call document pp. 18–19, 22; Q&A 108625
Heavy competition	Derived estimate: 15 retained of 76 submitted in 2024 (about 20%); requests above threshold were about 3.3 times the budget	Portal data, 2024 edition
Budget condition and cancellation	The call depends on adoption of the 2027 budget; cancellation carries no compensation	Call document pp. 4, 36
Control burden of many small sub-grants	The Risk management and monitoring plan must be approved before any sub-call is published; each sub-call needs an approved pre-information sheet; the system must cover monitoring, conflicts of interest, irregularities, fraud and breaches of EU values	Call document pp. 14–15, 27–28
EU-values due diligence	Intermediaries must require a signed declaration of honour from third parties and check where they have reason to doubt, which may include a review of online presence and social media of the organisation and its key personnel and board members; suspected breaches, fraud and reputational risks are reported to the project officer immediately (information document)	Call document p. 15; Q&A 108631; Framework p. 8
Cash flow and own share	Prefinancing is normally 40%; the balance is paid at the end. Derived estimate: with the model's 90% interim ceiling, at least 10% of the grant is held back until the final payment. The intermediary funds the other 6% of eligible costs (Derived estimate: about €159,574 to €382,979). A bank security may be required of the coordinator	Call document pp. 29–32
Liability	Under limited or unconditional joint and several liability, consortium members answer for others' amounts; affiliated entities may be made jointly liable	Call document p. 32
Page limit and template	Standard form says 45 pages, the call document 70; the call's own Part B template is visible only in the Submission System	Section 2
Late submission	The deadline cannot be extended; a proposal without the confirmation e-mail has not been submitted	Call document pp. 33, 35
Very similar proposals	For very similar projects, only one application is accepted and evaluated; an applicant must have the capacity for every proposal it takes part in	Call document p. 36; Q&A 108637
Timing for Door 2 organisations	Each sub-call needs an approved pre-information sheet, and its approval depends on the approved Risk management and monitoring plan (call document p. 28). EUnita interpretation: with signature planned for November 2027, sub-calls are unlikely before late 2027; no date is published	Call document pp. 17, 27–28

Red flags

- A consortium that meets the experience conditions only on paper: the evidence must show what was done, for how long, under which programme and for which target groups.
- An applicant that is, or depends on, a public body, a political actor or a commercial interest, without a clear account of its independence.
- A budget in which sub-grants are not a significant part of the project, or in which capacity building is thin.
- A sub-grant design that leaves out grassroots, small, rural or remote organisations, or that asks third parties for co-financing.
- An entity under EU restrictive measures or conditionality measures in any role.

13. EUnita recommendations

If you consider Door 1 (applying to EACEA)

1. Test the two experience conditions first, in writing, with evidence for each: which capacity-building activities, for how long, under which programme or scheme, for which target groups; which grants to CSOs you awarded and managed. If one organisation cannot meet both, look for consortium partners that complete the record.
2. Decide the country or countries to cover. A single country is allowed; each additional country needs its own landscape assessment, and a country without a partner in the consortium needs shown country expertise and monitoring.
3. Write the independence account for section 2.2 of Part B early: governance, funding sources, accountability, transparency, and how the organisation stays independent of government, parties, religious bodies and commercial interests.
4. Design the sub-grant calls to suit small organisations: simplified cost options, lump sums, local language, optional two-stage selection, support during the call, a uniform evaluation procedure, appeals, and no co-financing required from third parties.
5. Plan the control system as a deliverable of its own: monitoring, thresholds for reinforced monitoring, conflict of interest, irregularities, fraud and breaches of EU values. Approval of the Risk management and monitoring plan opens the door to every sub-call.
6. Budget with the 94% rate in mind: the 6% own share, the 7% flat rate on categories A–D, the 40% prefinancing, and the possible bank security.
7. Attend the info session on 3 December 2026, send questions in advance to EACEA-CERV@ec.europa.eu, and read the Topic Q&As again before submitting. Submit well before the deadline and keep the confirmation e-mail.

If you consider Door 2 (a sub-grant later)

1. Do not apply to EACEA unless you meet Door 1: the EU grant goes to the intermediary.
2. Prepare the papers an intermediary will ask for: statutes, registration, proof of non-profit status, annual activity report, and evidence that you are non-partisan and respect EU values.
3. Follow the project webpages of the selected intermediaries and the Portal section for competitive calls and calls for third parties, where the calls must be published. Results of the selection are indicatively expected in August 2027, and the agreements in November 2027. Also look at the project webpages of the 2022 and 2024 intermediaries: some may run sub-calls now (not verified).
4. Talk to organisations that plan to apply as intermediaries about the countries and themes their sub-calls would cover. A third party cannot be an affiliated entity, associated partner or contractor of the intermediary, so joining its consortium and receiving a sub-grant from it exclude each other.

Unresolved points

- Whether a public body or a public university can qualify as a CSO: the documents do not say.
- Whether a foundation without members fits the CSO definition: the documents do not discuss it.
- The meaning of "recent" in recent proven experience, and any minimum size or number of grants managed for eligibility (operational capacity under Quality asks for sufficient experience in projects of comparable size and nature, call document p. 22).
- The number of grants, the number of applications, and the minimum number of third parties, countries or sub-calls: not published.
- Whether the helpdesk advises on the eligibility of applicants: the call document does not say.
- The call's own Part B template, annex templates, the pre-information sheet template and any model sub-grant agreement: inside the Submission System or given only to selected intermediaries.
- Whether the 7% indirect-cost flat rate applies to category D.1: EUnita interpretation, yes on the text (call document p. 30; model agreement p. 12; annotated agreement p. 136); not stated in so many words.
- Whether the 2027 budget is adopted as the call assumes.
- Dates, sizes, languages and countries of the intermediaries' sub-calls: not published.

Sources

- Call document, version 1.0 (27 October 2026): https://ec.europa.eu/info/funding-tenders/opportunities/docs/2021-2027/cerv/wp-call/2026/call-fiche_cerv-2026-citizens-values_en.pdf (checked 15 Nov 2026)
- Funding & Tenders Portal topic page CERV-2026-CITIZENS-VALUES, with the 12 Topic Q&As: https://ec.europa.eu/info/funding-tenders/opportunities/portal/screen/opportunities/topic-details/CERV-2026-CITIZENS-VALUES (page state 15 Nov 2026)
- Portal data file of the topic: https://ec.europa.eu/info/funding-tenders/opportunities/data/topicDetails/cerv-2026-citizens-values.json (read 14 and 15 Nov 2026, unchanged)
- CERV work programme 2026–2027, C(2025) 8076 final (1 December 2025): https://commission.europa.eu/document/download/7407c47d-d261-4dd3-82c8-b2da4fe65492_en?filename=CERV+WORK+PROGRAMME+2026-2027.PDF (checked 15 Nov 2026)
- CERV model grant agreement (multi and mono), version 1.0 (1 November 2024): https://ec.europa.eu/info/funding-tenders/opportunities/docs/2021-2027/cerv/agr-contr/mga_cerv_en.pdf (checked 15 Nov 2026)
- Annotated model grant agreement (AGA), version 3.0 (1 November 2026): https://ec.europa.eu/info/funding-tenders/opportunities/docs/2021-2027/common/guidance/aga_en.pdf (checked 15 Nov 2026)
- Standard application form (CERV), version 3.0 (15 January 2025): https://ec.europa.eu/info/funding-tenders/opportunities/docs/2021-2027/cerv/temp-form/af/af_cerv_en.pdf (generic example; checked 15 Nov 2026)
- Framework for monitoring and control, Union Values call, version 1.1 (17 October 2023): https://www.eacea.ec.europa.eu/document/download/afe93f33-7543-4e46-80d6-7a5fea0f82ff_en?filename=Framework%20for%20monitoring%20and%20control%20activities%20under%20the%20Union%20Values%20Call%20%281%29.pdf (information only; checked 15 Nov 2026)
- Rules for legal entity validation and financial capacity assessment, version 6.0 (15 October 2026): https://ec.europa.eu/info/funding-tenders/opportunities/docs/2021-2027/common/guidance/rules-lev-lear-fca_en.pdf (checked 15 Nov 2026)
- EACEA online info session, 3 December 2026: https://www.eacea.ec.europa.eu/news-events/events/online-info-session-2026-cerv-union-values-call-2026-12-03_en (page read 15 Nov 2026)
- 2024 edition: call document, version 2.0 (10 November 2023): https://ec.europa.eu/info/funding-tenders/opportunities/docs/2021-2027/cerv/wp-call/2024/call-fiche_cerv-2024-citizens-values_en.pdf (checked 14 Nov 2026)
- 2024 edition: Portal data file with evaluation flash information: https://ec.europa.eu/info/funding-tenders/opportunities/data/topicDetails/cerv-2024-citizens-values.json (read 14 Nov 2026)
- 2022 edition: Portal data file with evaluation flash information: https://ec.europa.eu/info/funding-tenders/opportunities/data/topicDetails/cerv-2022-citizens-values.json (read 14 Nov 2026)
- Portal Projects & results: projects of the 2024 edition (CERV-2024-CITIZENS-VALUES): https://ec.europa.eu/info/funding-tenders/opportunities/portal/screen/opportunities/projects-results?programmePeriod=2021%20-%202027&frameworkProgramme=43251589&callIdentifier=CERV-2024-CITIZENS-VALUES (listing read 14 Nov 2026)
- Portal Projects & results: projects of the 2022 edition (CERV-2022-CITIZENS-VALUES): https://ec.europa.eu/info/funding-tenders/opportunities/portal/screen/opportunities/projects-results?programmePeriod=2021%20-%202027&frameworkProgramme=43251589&callIdentifier=CERV-2022-CITIZENS-VALUES (listing read 14 Nov 2026)
- Portal section for competitive calls and calls for third parties: https://ec.europa.eu/info/funding-tenders/opportunities/portal/screen/opportunities/competitive-calls (page read 15 Nov 2026)

Checked 15 November 2026. Official updates prevail.